

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,396	09/15/2003	Jerald C. Seelig	619.509 ACC.CIP-Instant L	2905
21707 7	590 09/20/2004		EXAM	INER
10/663,396 09/15/2003		LAYNO, BENJAMIN		
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3712	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{A}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N.
	10/663,396	SEELIG ET AL.	- 1/
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin H. Layno	3712	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHe, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this comr NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status		. '	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.	•
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	V / V / TO V /	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		lication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		age
application from the International Bureau	•		Ü
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re-	ceived.	
Attachment(s)	□		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) ⁄/ail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Info	mal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/663,396 Page 2

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. Claims1-7, 9-14, 15-29, 31-39 and 41-51 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,764,396 in view of Frank et al.
- 2. The patent to Frank et al. teaches that it is known in the lottery gaming machine art to provide media (redeemable ticket) to the player. A media dispenser in communication with the game apparatus, Fig. 1, dispenses media to the player, col. 1, lines 65-68. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to modify the gaming device of U.S. Patent No. 6,764,396 by incorporating media, wherein the lottery or keno ticket having symbols selected by a player, Fig. 12, would have been printed. A media dispenser in communication with the game apparatus would have been used to dispense the lottery or keno ticket to the player. This modification would have made the game apparatus of U.S. Patent No. 6,764,396 play more like a conventional lottery or keno game thus attracting lottery and keno players.
- 3. Claims 8, 30 and 40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims, 7, 27 and 37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,764,396 in view of Frank et al. further in view of Bouedec. The patent to Bouedec teaches that it is known in the lottery gaming machine art to provide media T1 comprising removable coating 7 adapted to cover at least a portion of the media. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to incorporate removable coating to

Application/Control Number: 10/663,396 Page 3

Art Unit: 3712

media of U.S. Patent No. 6,764,396. The tickets having lottery or keno numbers would have been covered by removable coating for security purposes.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (703) 308-1815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (703) 308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,396 Page 4

Art Unit: 3712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H//Layno Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3712

bhl